

## *N*-Benzylethylammonium nitrate: a three-dimensional hydrogen-bonded framework comprising substructures in zero, one and two dimensions

Rodrigo Abonia,<sup>a</sup> Emerson Rengifo,<sup>a</sup> Justo Cobo,<sup>b</sup> John N. Low<sup>c</sup> and Christopher Glidewell<sup>d\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Grupo de Investigación de Compuestos Heterocíclicos, Departamento de Química, Universidad de Valle, AA 25360 Cali, Colombia, <sup>b</sup>Departamento de Química Inorgánica y Orgánica, Universidad de Jaén, 23071 Jaén, Spain, <sup>c</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Aberdeen, Meston Walk, Old Aberdeen AB24 3UE, Scotland, and <sup>d</sup>School of Chemistry, University of St Andrews, Fife KY16 9ST, Scotland

Correspondence e-mail: cg@st-andrews.ac.uk

Received 3 October 2005


Accepted 5 October 2005

Online 22 October 2005

The title compound is a salt,  $C_9H_{14}N^+ \cdot NO_3^-$ , in which two  $N-H \cdots O$  hydrogen bonds and two  $C-H \cdots O$  hydrogen bonds generate a three-dimensional framework structure. The combination of one  $N-H \cdots O$  hydrogen bond and one  $C-H \cdots O$  hydrogen bond generates a finite (zero-dimensional) centrosymmetric  $R_4^4(14)$  aggregate containing two cations and two anions; the combination of the two  $N-H \cdots O$  hydrogen bonds generates a one-dimensional  $C_2^2(6)$  chain of alternating cations and anions, and the combination of one  $N-H \cdots O$  hydrogen bond and two  $C-H \cdots O$  hydrogen bonds generates a two-dimensional sheet of alternating  $R_4^4(14)$  and  $R_8^6(34)$  rings.

### Comment


In an attempt to effect the selective removal of the benzotriazole residue from *N*-(benzotriazol-1-ylmethyl)-*N*-ethylbenzylamine, a methanol solution of this compound was treated at room temperature with an aqueous solution of silver nitrate, resulting in the formation of *N*-benzylethylammonium nitrate, (I), as the main isolated product.




The two ionic components are linked into a three-dimensional framework structure of some complexity by a combination of two rather short  $N-H \cdots O$  hydrogen bonds, between cationic N and anionic O atoms, and two  $C-H \cdots O$

hydrogen bonds (Table 2). The formation of the framework is readily analysed in terms of several fairly simple and low-dimensional substructures (Gregson *et al.*, 2000). A one-dimensional substructure is built from  $N-H \cdots O$  hydrogen bonds only, while a combination of one each of the  $N-H \cdots O$  and  $C-H \cdots O$  hydrogen bonds generates a finite zero-dimensional substructure, which itself forms the building block of a two-dimensional substructure utilizing one  $N-H \cdots O$  hydrogen bond and both of the  $C-H \cdots O$  hydrogen bonds.

Within the selected asymmetric unit (Fig. 1), atom N2 in the cation acts as a hydrogen-bond donor, *via* H2A, to atom O1 in the anion. In addition, atom N2 in the cation at  $(x, y, z)$  acts as a donor, *via* H2B, to atom O3 in the anion at  $(-1 + x, y, z)$ , so generating by translation a one-dimensional substructure in the form of a  $C_2^2(6)$  (Bernstein *et al.*, 1995) chain running parallel to the  $[100]$  direction (Fig. 2).




**Figure 1**  
The independent components of (I), showing the atom-labelling scheme and the  $N-H \cdots O$  hydrogen bond (dashed lines) within the asymmetric unit. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.



**Figure 2**  
Part of the crystal structure of (I), showing the formation of a hydrogen-bonded  $C_2^2(6)$  chain along  $[100]$ . For clarity, H atoms bonded to C atoms have been omitted. Atoms marked with an asterisk (\*) or a hash (#) are at the symmetry positions  $(-1 + x, y, z)$  and  $(1 + x, y, z)$ , respectively.


The action of the C—H···O hydrogen bonds leads to considerably more complexity than the rather simple motif generated by the N—H···O hydrogen bonds alone. However, the analysis of the two-dimensional substructure is markedly eased by the identification of a finite centrosymmetric four-ion aggregate. Atom C1 in the cation at  $(x, y, z)$ , which is adjacent to the positive ammonium centre, acts as a hydrogen-bond donor, *via* H1A, to atom O3 in the anion at  $(1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z)$ , so forming by inversion a cyclic centrosymmetric  $R_4^4(14)$  aggregate containing two cations and two anions and centred at  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  (Fig. 3); this aggregate forms the basic building block for the construction of the two-dimensional substructure.

Aryl atoms C13 in the cations at  $(x, y, z)$  and  $(1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z)$ , which lie in the  $R_4^4(14)$  aggregate centred at  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ , act as hydrogen-bond donors, respectively, to atom O3 in the



**Figure 3**

Part of the crystal structure of (I), showing the formation of a cyclic hydrogen-bonded  $R_4^4(14)$  aggregate of two cations and two anions. For clarity, H atoms in the ethyl and phenyl groups have been omitted. Atoms marked with an asterisk (\*) are at the symmetry position  $(1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z)$ .



**Figure 4**

A stereoview of part of the crystal structure of (I), showing the formation of a hydrogen-bonded (101) sheet built from  $R_4^4(14)$  and  $R_8^6(34)$  rings. For clarity, H atoms not involved in the motifs shown have been omitted.

anions at  $(-\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{3}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z)$  and  $(\frac{3}{2} - x, -\frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z)$ , which themselves lie in the  $R_4^4(14)$  aggregates centred at  $(0, 1, 1)$  and  $(1, 0, 0)$ , respectively. Similarly, atoms O3 in the anions at  $(x, y, z)$  and  $(1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z)$  accept hydrogen bonds from atoms C13 in the cations at  $(\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{3}{2} - y, -\frac{1}{2} + z)$  and  $(\frac{1}{2} - x, -\frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{3}{2} - z)$ , which form parts, respectively, of the  $R_4^4(14)$  aggregates centred at  $(1, 1, 0)$  and  $(0, 0, 1)$ . Propagation by the space group of this single hydrogen bond then links each  $R_4^4(14)$  aggregate to four others, so forming a (101) sheet containing alternating  $R_4^4(14)$  and  $R_8^6(34)$  rings (Fig. 4).

The combination of the [100] chain and the (101) sheet is sufficient to generate a continuous three-dimensional framework, within which it is possible to identify substructures in zero (Fig. 3), one (Fig. 2) and two (Fig. 4) dimensions.

The conformation of the cation is unexpected. While the C1—N2 and C3—C4 bonds are antiperiplanar, the N2—C3 and C1—C11 bonds are synclinal (Table 1); the aryl ring is approximately normal to the C11—C1—N2 plane. In the anion, it is notable that the N1—O2 bond is significantly shorter than the other two N—O bonds (Table 1); in this respect, it is worth noting that atom O2 is the one O atom not involved in the hydrogen bonding. Associated with the unequal bond lengths, the O1—N2—O3 angle is significantly less than the other two O—N—O angles.

## Experimental

An aqueous solution of  $\text{AgNO}_3$  (0.5 ml containing 0.44 mmol) was added dropwise at room temperature over a period of 5 min to a vigorously stirred solution of *N*-(benzotriazol-1-ylmethyl)-*N*-ethylbenzylamine (0.1 g, 0.38 mmol) in methanol (5 ml). The resulting precipitate was removed by filtration and the filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure, yielding an oily residue. After two days, colourless crystals of (I) had formed from the oil, and these proved to be suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction (90% yield; m.p. 368–369 K). MS: (70 eV)  $m/z$  (%) 136 (21.5,  $M^+$ ), 120 (26.5), 91 (100).

### Crystal data

$\text{C}_9\text{H}_{14}\text{N}^+\cdot\text{NO}_3^-$   
 $M_r = 198.22$   
 Monoclinic,  $P2_1/n$   
 $a = 5.9538$  (4) Å  
 $b = 8.9940$  (6) Å  
 $c = 18.7484$  (8) Å  
 $\beta = 98.324$  (4)°  
 $V = 993.37$  (10) Å<sup>3</sup>  
 $Z = 4$

$D_x = 1.325$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>  
 Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  
 Cell parameters from 2262 reflections  
 $\theta = 3.2$ – $27.5^\circ$   
 $\mu = 0.10$  mm<sup>-1</sup>  
 $T = 120$  (2) K  
 Block, colourless  
 $0.50 \times 0.30 \times 0.20$  mm

### Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer  
 $\varphi$  and  $\omega$  scans  
 Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 2003)  
 $T_{\min} = 0.969$ ,  $T_{\max} = 0.980$   
 13128 measured reflections  
 2262 independent reflections

1869 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.036$   
 $\theta_{\text{max}} = 27.5^\circ$   
 $h = -7 \rightarrow 7$   
 $k = -11 \rightarrow 11$   
 $l = -24 \rightarrow 22$

### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$   
 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.043$   
 $wR(F^2) = 0.132$   
 $S = 1.11$   
 2262 reflections  
 128 parameters  
 H-atom parameters constrained

$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0781P)^2 + 0.2024P]$   
 where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$   
 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.001$   
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.23$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>  
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.30$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>

**Table 1**  
Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

N1—O1	1.2601 (15)	N1—O3	1.2603 (14)
N1—O2	1.2404 (15)		
O1—N1—O2	120.60 (11)	O1—N1—O3	118.85 (11)
O2—N1—O3	120.54 (11)		
C12—C11—C1—N2	100.66 (14)	C1—N2—C3—C4	173.25 (11)
C11—C1—N2—C3	−74.31 (14)		

**Table 2**  
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

<i>D</i> —H... <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H	H... <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> ... <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H... <i>A</i>
N2—H2 <i>A</i> ...O1	0.92	1.99	2.8855 (15)	164
N2—H2 <i>B</i> ...O3 <sup>i</sup>	0.92	1.93	2.8299 (15)	166
C1—H1 <i>A</i> ...O3 <sup>ii</sup>	0.99	2.48	3.2652 (17)	136
C13—H13...O3 <sup>iii</sup>	0.95	2.52	3.4050 (17)	156

Symmetry codes: (i)  $x - 1, y, z$ ; (ii)  $-x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1$ ; (iii)  $x - \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$ .

The space group  $P2_1/n$  was uniquely assigned from the systematic absences. All H atoms were located from difference maps and then treated as riding atoms, with C—H distances of 0.95 (aromatic), 0.98 (CH<sub>3</sub>) or 0.99 Å (CH<sub>2</sub>), and N—H distances of 0.92 Å, and with  $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H})$  values of  $1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C,N})$  or  $1.5U_{\text{eq}}(\text{methyl C})$ .

Data collection: *COLLECT* (Hooft, 1999); cell refinement: *DENZO* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997) and *COLLECT*; data reduction: *DENZO* and *COLLECT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *OSCAIL* (McArdle, 2003) and *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *OSCAIL* and *SHELXL97*

(Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *PLATON* (Spek, 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97* and *PRPKAPPA* (Ferguson, 1999).

X-ray data were collected at the EPSRC X-ray Crystallographic Service, University of Southampton, England. JC thanks the Consejería de Innovación, Ciencia y Empresa (Junta de Andalucía, Spain) and the Universidad de Jaén for financial support. RA and ER thank COLCIENCIAS and UNIVALLE (Universidad del Valle, Colombia) for financial support.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SK1877). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

## References

- Bernstein, J., Davis, R. E., Shimoni, L. & Chang, N.-L. (1995). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **34**, 1555–1573.
- Ferguson, G. (1999). *PRPKAPPA*. University of Guelph, Canada.
- Gregson, R. M., Glidewell, C., Ferguson, G. & Lough, A. J. (2000). *Acta Cryst.* **B56**, 39–57.
- Hooft, R. W. W. (1999). *COLLECT*. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- McArdle, P. (2003). *OSCAIL for Windows*. Version 10. Crystallography Centre, Chemistry Department, NUI Galway, Ireland.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). *Methods in Enzymology*, Vol. 276, *Macromolecular Crystallography*, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr & R. M. Sweet, pp. 307–326. New York: Academic Press.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). *SHELXS97* and *SHELXL97*. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2003). *SADABS*. Version 2.10. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **36**, 7–13.